

Message

From: Paz, Armando, NMENV [Armando.Paz@state.nm.us]
Sent: 9/11/2019 8:46:11 PM
To: Crawford, Dorothy [Crawford.Dorothy@epa.gov]
CC: Verhalen, Frances [verhalen.frances@epa.gov]; Singleton, Kerwin, NMENV [Kerwin.Singleton@state.nm.us]
Subject: FW: 2016 PM10 Exceedances Luna and Dona Ana Counties - Exceptional Event Demonstration, draft public notice

Dorothy,

Thank you for the comments and your keen attention to detail. The only reason for the “within New Mexico and Mexico” is because of the hysplit result showing air parcels coming from the Mexico side of the border; I can see your rationale for wanting to focus on New Mexico based on the predominant wind direction coming from the NW for page 37.

I have contacted the City of Anthony to inquire information regarding the progress of paving the streets since the early '90's. I will update the draft to reflect the changes and the information regarding the enforcement of dust ordinances.

Armando Paz
Environmental Analyst
New Mexico Environment Department
Air Quality Bureau – Control Strategies
2301 Entrada del Sol
Las Cruces, NM 88001
(575) 449-2983
armando.paz@state.nm.us
www.env.nm.gov
Twitter: @NMEnvDep | #IamNMED

From:
Sent: Wednesday, September 11, 2019 11:55 AM
To: Paz, Armando, NMENV <Armando.Paz@state.nm.us>
Cc: Madden, Joshua <madden.joshua@epa.gov>; <verhalen.frances@epa.gov>
Subject: [EXT] 2016 PM10 Exceedances Luna and Dona Ana Counties - Exceptional Event Demonstration, draft public notice

Armando, Some additional potential typos found in the subject draft demo:

- Table 1-1, May 5 vs May 6
- Last paragraph, page 37. Should ‘within New Mexico and Mexico’ be ‘within New Mexico’? Winds are from NW. But I see the hysplit results has some scenarios passing over Mexico
- Figure 8-4, no Deming data so Luna county reference in title seems inaccurate
- Table 9-1, Anthony exceedance measured on POC 2 rather than 1
- Similar to comment above. Last paragraph, page 109. Should ‘within New Mexico and Mexico’ be ‘within New Mexico’?
- Page 126 need space between ‘exceeding’ and ‘9 m/s’
- Page 157 stated Figure 13-13 is for 1400-2100 hours but graphic on Figure says 0-1500
- Similar page 158 says Figure 13-14 is 0-1500 but graphic says 500-700
- This pattern follows into Figures 13-15, 16, 17, and 18

As we discussed during the recent conference call, I would appreciate if you can update the draft with the current status of city of Anthony long term effort to pave streets. It was a general 1991 SIP goal. Any idea what has been accomplished since 1991?

Also, it would be good to add information on which departments or groups that implement or enforce the various dust ordinances (city of Deming, city of Las Cruces, Luna County, Dona Ana County). Thanks

Dorothy Crawford
U.S. EPA, Region 6, Air Monitoring
(214) 665-2771